Register for the Global Congress on Process Safety at <u>http://www.aiche.org/Conferences/Specialty/GCPS.aspx</u>



Process Safety Beacon Special Issue

http://www.aiche.org/ccps/safetybeacon.htm

Messages for Manufacturing Personnel

Sponsored by the 5th Global Congress on Process Safety

Flixborough — In June 2009 it will be 35 Years since the tragedy...

Originally published in June 2004, Re-issued April 7, 2009



What Happened?

One of the six reactors in series needed repairs. To minimize downtime, it was decided to bypass that one reactor and repair it off line. A temporary bypass line was installed using a pipe with an expansion

bellows on each end and supported by scaffolding. Because of the rush to resume production, the new



bypass was not tested prior to start up nor were engineering standards or manufacturer's recommendations considered.

Approximately three months later, the expansion bellows in the bypass line failed and released an estimated 30 tons of flammable cyclohexane. The resultant vapor cloud ignited killing 28 people and injuring 89 more. The entire plant was destroyed and hundreds of homes and stores were damaged.

See the Chemical Safety Board web site: http://www.csb.gov/safety_publications/docs/moc08 2801.pdf for MOC related accidents.

PSID Sponsors see:

Free Search—Management of Change

Why this Happened

The temporary modification was not adequately reviewed for potential adverse consequences!

The temporary bypass was made with two bends in it because the nozzles on the two tanks were at different levels. The impact of internal forces and flow stresses were not considered on the expansion bellows.

Expansion bellows were left in place on each end of the bypass line. The suitability of this design and manufacturer's recommendations were not considered.

The weight of the temporary bypass was not securely supported—it was simply placed on scaffolding. The amount of movement and the effect of that movement on the bellows were not considered.

What You Can Do

• Always follow your company's Management of Change (MOC) procedure. *Remember, temporary changes demand the same rigorous review as do permanent changes.* If you do not utilize a MOC procedure, discuss the value it could provide to your facility.

 Make changes <u>only</u> after thorough hazard reviews have been conducted and approved by qualified experts.

• Use good engineering practices and manufacturer's recommendations.

Evaluate Every change, even Temporary ones—for Expected and Unexpected Consequences

AIChE © 2003, 2009. All rights reserved. Reproduction for non-commercial, educational purposes is encouraged. However, reproduction for the purpose of resale by anyone other than CCPS is strictly prohibited. Contact us at ccps_beacon@aiche.org or 646-495-1371